Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Magnetic ordering affected by multipolar interactions in  $Ho_{1-x}Tb_xB_2C_2$ 

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2003 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 S2193 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/15/28/350)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.121 The article was downloaded on 19/05/2010 at 14:17

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 (2003) S2193-S2196

# Magnetic ordering affected by multipolar interactions in $Ho_{1-x}Tb_xB_2C_2$

### K Ido, A Tobo, K Ohoyama, H Onodera and Y Yamaguchi

Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

Received 12 November 2002 Published 4 July 2003 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/15/S2193

#### Abstract

We performed a powder neutron diffraction experiment on Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> (x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8) to compare the magnetic properties of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. It is found that the magnetic satellite peaks described as  $k = [1 \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_2]$  get larger even in the (antiferromagnetic + antiferroquadrupolar) phase for x = 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6. And a satellite peak around 000 newly emerges for x = 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8.

#### 1. Introduction

HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> undergoes an antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering at  $T_N = 5.9$  K and an antiferroquadrupolar (AFQ) ordering at  $T_Q = 4.5$  K [1]. The magnetic structure in the AFM phase is a long-periodic one described by a propagation vector  $\mathbf{k} = [1 \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_2]$  ( $\delta_1 = 0.11, \delta_2 = 0.04$ ). And magnetic diffuse components around  $\mathbf{k}$  are observed [2]. TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> only undergoes an AFM ordering at  $T_N = 21.7$  K [3]. An AFQ ordering transition is induced by external magnetic fields. The propagation vectors in the main AFM component are described as  $\mathbf{k}_0 = [0, 1, 1/2], \mathbf{k}_1 = [0, 0, 1/2]$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2 = [1 \pm \delta, \pm \delta, 0]$  ( $\delta = 0.13$ ) [3]. And the diffuse components are also observed around  $\mathbf{k}_2$ -vector satellite peaks like for the AFM phase of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>.

The anomalous magnetic properties in the AFM phases of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> are quite similar as regards the long-periodic magnetic structure and diffuse component. These magnetic anomalies are possibly due to the influence of quadrupolar interactions. Therefore we carried out specific heat measurements on Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> to compare the interactions in the AFM phases of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. Our result is that  $T_Q$  is hardly dependent on the concentration from x = 0.0 to 0.6 while the peak height of the specific heat at  $T_Q$  gradually decreases [4]. This result indicates that the Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions seem to be cooperative with the AFQ ordering in HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, although TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> itself shows no AFQ ordering under zero magnetic field. It seems that the Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions play some role in the AFQ ordering in HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. Then we a performed powder neutron diffraction experiment on Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> to study the effect of Tb<sup>3+</sup> substitution on the (AFQ + AFM) ordering phase in HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 1. Powder neutron diffraction patterns for  $Ho_{1-x}Tb_xB_2C_2$  at 2.2 K.

# 2. Experimental details

We synthesized  $\text{Ho}_{1-x}\text{Tb}_x^{11}\text{B}_2\text{C}_2$  (x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8) by the conventional argon arc technique. To ensure homogeneity, each ingot was turned over and remelted several times. 99.95% enriched <sup>11</sup>B was used instead of natural B to decrease the neutron absorption by the samples. We performed neutron powder diffraction experiments on the powder diffractometer for high efficiency and high resolution measurements HERMES, installed at the JRR-3M reactor in Japan [5].

# 3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows powder neutron diffraction patterns for x = 0.2, 0.6 and 0.8 at 2.2 K. The crystal structures of the samples are confirmed to be LaB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-type tetragonal ones. The diffraction pattern for x = 0.2 is nearly the same as that of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, which is described by the four propagation vectors  $k_0 = [1, 0, 0]$ ,  $k_1 = [0, 1, 1/2]$ ,  $k_2 = [0, 0, 1/2]$  and  $k_3 = [0, 0, 0]$  [2]. However, satellite peaks described by  $k = [1 \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_2]$  emerge in x = 0.2. Moreover, the intensities of the satellite peaks for x = 0.6 become much larger than those for x = 0.2, while the original Bragg peaks described by k = [1, 0, 0] almost disappear for x = 0.6. Not only the M100 satellite peaks but also the M101, M210 and M211 satellite peaks become sharper and clearer as x increases. For x = 0.8 at 2.2 K, all satellite peaks become small. The



Figure 2. Integrated intensities of M100<sup>-</sup> for x = 0.2, 0.6 and 0.8.

powder pattern for x = 0.8 at 2.2 K is nearly the same as that of the AFM phase of TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> which is described mainly by three propagation vectors  $k_0 = [1, 0, 1/2]$ ,  $k_1 = [0, 0, 1/2]$  and  $k_2 = [1 \pm \delta, \pm \delta, 0]$ . This is consistent with the results of specific heat measurements in showing that the ground state phase of Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> is (AFQ + AFM) for x = 0.2-0.6 and AFM for x = 0.8.

Specific heat measurements clarified that the ground state is the (AFQ + AFM) phase for x = 0.0-0.6 for the Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> system. The propagation vectors for the (AFQ + AFM) phases of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> and TbB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> were reported to be a combination of  $k_0 = [1, 0, 0]$ ,  $k_1 = [0, 1, 1/2]$ ,  $k_2 = [0, 0, 1/2]$  and  $k_3 = [0, 0, 0]$ . Nevertheless, the magnetic structure of the (AFQ + AFM) phase of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> is described by four commensurate propagation vectors; those for x = 0.2 and 0.6 are long-periodic ones because  $k_0 = [1, 0, 0]$  is transformed gradually to the incommensurate vector  $k = [1 \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_2]$ . This indicates that the magnetic structure of the (AFQ + AFM) phase of HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> changes to a longitudinal one on substituting Tb<sup>3+</sup> for Ho<sup>3+</sup>. The long-periodic magnetic component becomes larger as x increases and it has its maximum at x = 0.6.

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of the integrated intensities of M100<sup>-</sup>. The instrumental factors which were estimated from the 111 nuclear Bragg peak were corrected. In the correction, we took account of the difference in nuclear scattering length  $b_n$  between Ho and Tb for each compound. As  $T/T_N$  decreases, the intensity for x = 0.2 increases gradually and decreases below  $T/T_N = 0.7$ . This behaviour is nearly the same as that for HoB<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. However, the intensity for x = 0.6 continues to increase down to the lowest temperature, which indicates that the Tb<sup>3+</sup> substitution enhances the longitudinal magnetic component under  $T_N$ .

A 000 satellite peak is newly discovered for x = 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8. This satellite peak remains even above  $T_N$  for each sample. This 000 satellite possibly originates from an impurity phase, but at present we have no information about this.

The diffuse component around  $\mathbf{k} = [1 \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_1, \pm \delta_2]$  satellite peaks is difficult to observe in powder patterns of Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. Single-crystal neutron scattering experiments on Ho<sub>1-x</sub>Tb<sub>x</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> are now in progress in order to make precise observations of diffuse components.

# References

- [1] Onodera H et al 1999 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 68 2526
- [2] Ohoyama K et al 2000 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 69 3401
- [3] Kaneko K *et al* 2001 J. Phys. Soc. Japan **70** 3112
- [4] Ido K *et al* 2002 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan (Suppl.)* **71** 83
  [5] Ohoyama K *et al* 1998 *Japan. J. Appl. Phys.* **37** 3319